

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/950,022	ROTHSCHILD ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Juliet C. Switzer	1634

All Participants:

Status of Application: RCE

(1) Juliet C. Switzer.

(3) _____.

(2) Heidi Nebel.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 January 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

all pending

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. *The Examiner will provide a brief summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowance.*
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner's amendment to place the claims in condition for allowance were discussed (see attached examiner's amendments). The amendments clarify the claimed invention. It was agreed that the restriction among polymorphisms would be withdrawn. It was also agreed that claims to only the position 154 polymorphism would be canceled, without prejudice, in order to expedite prosecution.